UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNLIMITED WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS, INC., ET AL.

Plaintiffs,

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC., ET AL.

Defendants.

Case No.: 5:25-CV-1058-YGR

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE FAILURE TO PROSECUTE:

ORDER VACATING HEARING

RE: DKT. No. 8.

TO PLAINTIFFS UNLIMITED WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND EHAB IAN TAHA:

YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing no later than March 31, 2025, why your claims should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Defendant filed a motion to dismiss on February 10, 2025, and a response was due by February 24, 2025. (Dkt. No. 8.) Litigants must actively prosecute their case. Failure to timely file responses in this case shall be deemed a failure to prosecute and an admission that good cause exists to dismiss the case without prejudice. Consequently, if plaintiff fails to timely respond to defendant's motion to dismiss, the Court will dismiss this action for failure to prosecute, and without further notice.²

Further, the hearing set for April 8, 2025 is **VACATED**. The Court will reset the hearing at a later date if appropriate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

for motions remain unchanged." (Dkt. No. 12.)

Date: March 17, 2025

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

24

25

26

27

28

² Two defendants are named in the action. Defendant The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. was never served. (*See* Dkt. No. 1-3.)

Furthermore, the clerk's notice reassigning the case explicitly stated that "existing briefing schedules

case was reassigned to this Court. However, by that time a responsive motion was already due.

¹ The case was originally assigned to Magistrate Judge Westmore. On February 27, 2025, the